





### Sustainable Jurisdictions Indicators Working Group

# SJI district outreach planning meeting

# Minutes of Meeting

Day/date:	2 March 2023 (Thursday)	
Time:	9:30 – 12:00 Jakarta Time	
Venue:	Santika Premiere, Slipi, Jakarta	
Moderator: Pak Rully Amrullah (EFI)		
Participants: See Annex 1		

#### Agenda

- 1. Welcome remarks
- 2. EFI presentation on planning SJI capacity building workshop
- 3. Discussion
- 4. Closing

### 1 Welcome remarks and presentation on SJI Capacity Building Workshop Series

Jeremy Broadhead (EFI-KAMI Project Manager) welcomed everyone to the meeting and stated that the objective of the meeting was to initiate planning in support of Bappenas and EU for a series of Sustainable Jurisdictions Indicators outreach meetings across Indonesia to raise awareness of and upscale implementation of SJI. The meetings are aimed at national level stakeholders from Indonesian Ministries, CSOs and the private sector, and stakeholders from Indonesian districts and provinces. Under the auspices of Bappenas, KAMI hopes to receive inputs from SJI working group members and to develop a plan that will be shared for endorsement by Bappenas and the EU.

Jeremy stressed that it is important to ensure that key stakeholders providing indicator data (local government, CSO, NGOs) understand the indicator data and become a partner in promoting the SJIs.

Jeremy presented the draft objectives of the meetings which were to:

- Raise awareness of national and international initiatives to promote sustainable, legal and deforestation-free production and the role of the Sustainable Jurisdictions Indicators (SJI), data platform
- 2. Facilitate districts to develop sustainable palm oil action plans and access incentives to support transitions to sustainability

He noted potential benefits of SJI to in support efforts to improve forest and land use planning and governance and incentivise transitions to sustainability in Indonesian, provide credible and objective information to companies placing palm oil on the EU market, and help to connect smallholders to supply chains for sustainable palm oil.

The target audience for the meetings would include representatives from relevant ministries, SJI WG members and representatives from their focal districts, CSOs, private sector representatives, smallholder associations, Bappedas, district government plantation and agriculture agencies, etc.

The meetings are proposed to be held between May and July with a first meeting in Jakarta followed by a meeting in Palangkaraya for districts in Central Kalimantan and then meetings for districts in, e.g. East and West Kalimantan, Riau, North and South Sumatra, and Jambi.

The meetings would feature sessions by Surveyor Indonesia on the SJI data platform and indicators, and traceability followed by a session on palm oil sustainability at the district level led by Javlec. For the proposed meetings in regional hubs, breakout groups could be formed in the afternoons to plan SJI implementation and for districts to develop strategies to apply for government incentives to support transitioning to sustainability. For the national level Jakarta meeting discussions could be facilitated to guide upscaling of SJI through the district level outreach meetings.

### 2 Questions and comments

**Pak Heriyadi, ISPO:** ISPO is very happy to support this activity and welcomes the proposed outreach meetings which should help in accelerating ISPO implementation at the local level. It will be mandatory for companies/estates and all smallholders to be ISPO certified by 2025. This means that 121 districts need to accelerate uptake of ISPO and district governments are crucial in these efforts as they are responsible for monitoring and checking on ISPO implementation. Currently, there are many reasons why ISPO certification is not accelerating including lack of understanding and lack of funding. Since 2006, ISPO has received funding from palm oil companies and more recently BPDPKS (Badan Pengelola Dana Perkebunan Kelapa Sawit) has also become a source of funding but it is still insufficient. Oil palm smallholders are also hampered by many other factors such as poor infrastructure. For example, bad roads increase transport times to mills and reduce the quality of fresh fruit bunches (FFB). The direction provided by KAMI is clear and ISPO is supportive of efforts to promote palm oil sustainability at the district level and to promote the use of ISPO certification as proof of compliance in relation to global market requirements. ISPO is mandatory and we need to make sure it is rolled out.

**Pak Herdrajat, ISPO:** Districts for SJI outreach could be selected based on relevance in relation to ISPO certification and deforestation. For example, in some districts, deforestation is still an issue while in others deforestation is low. It would be good to see a list of districts identified for engagement and perhaps selection could be based on deforestation data or districts that have regional action plans on sustainable palm oil (RAN-KSB), or those advancing on SIPD (Sistem Informasi Pemerintahan Daerah/Regional Government Information System) which is supported by the Ministry of Home Affairs. The Director General of Estate Crops in the Ministry of Agriculture has a synchronized sustainable palm oil programme including oil palm replanting, infrastructure and HR development, which be one of the incentives programmed to promote 'green' districts. Given current work, the USAID SEGAR project would be a suitable partner to engage in the discussion on district incentive schemes and incentives should be considered at the different levels at which they can be applied including district and company level. Perhaps SJI could also be linked to market instruments, for example, local governments decide the price of FFB and 'green' districts could potentially receive higher prices based on their SJI performance.

**Ristika Putri, LTKL:** Referring to the proposed list of districts Jeremy presented, at the B20 meeting in Bali in November 2022 nine 'champion' districts expressed their commitment to SJI by signing the SJI declaration. How can these districts be prioritized in the implementation of the SJI outreach? They are waiting for the next steps and could be prioritized for the outreach and in the development of a roadmap for SJI implementation.

Regarding the membership of this SJI Working Group is it the same as the former Terpercaya Indicators WG? Also, will Bappenas lead these SJI Working Groups activities and take the lead in the outreach meeting planning, and clarify roles and responsibility of each WG member, including e.g., USAID SEGAR in relation to district incentives? The Ministry of Investment has issued a decree on the Sustainable Investment Guidelines, but we are still waiting for a decree on SJI from Bappenas so efforts need to be made to maintain the role of Bappenas.

**Response - Jeremy, EFI, KAMI:** KAMI has already discussed the SJI outreach meetings with Bappenas and will liaise further on the role of the SJI WG members in the meetings. Jeremy noted that all of the 'champion' districts are included in the provisional list of districts to be included in the SJI outreach meetings and noted that all districts supported by SJI WG members have been provisionally assigned to a meeting in a regional hub except districts, including those supported by LTKL, in Sulawesi where there is not much oil palm. Regarding the latter, it is possible that an SJI WG meeting could be held in Sulawesi with support by LTKL and focussing on commodities in addition to palm oil.

**Nur Maliki Arifiandi, CDP:** Ibu Nur Maliki agreed that more assistance should be given to the nine districts that committed to SJI implementation at the B20, for example by prioritizing them for piloting or direct assistance, and stressed the importance of being open about incentives for districts and the need to align with guidance from the Ministry of Finance and the Ministry of Investment. This is because there is a possibility to use SJI as a tool to strengthen the Ministry of investment's Sustainability Investment Guidelines.

**Response – Rully, EFI, KAMI:** Perhaps the nine districts could join the first outreach meeting and then their representatives could act as resource persons for the other meetings.

**Dominik Schwab, GIZ SAFE Project**: The outreach meetings are a good idea and could go beyond palm oil and also address sustainability in relation to other commodities given that, for example, Sigi district in Sulawesi is not a palm oil district but is committed to implementing SJI.

**Response – Jeremy, EFI, KAMI:** With regards to going beyond palm oil, the EUDR is drawing attention to commodities beyond palm oil and while KAMI's focus is on palm oil, representatives from districts could also be supported by other working group members or KAMI in consultation with SJI WG members could provide an outreach model and training materials so that other organisations could run the training for other commodities.

**Silfi Iriyani - GIZ Safe Project:** Recent discussions with Bappenas have indicate that conservation agriculture has become a priority and GIZ has been requested to link associated work to the SJI, in particular Article 13 of Law 32, 2019 on Environmental Protection and Management. How can we link this in Central Sulawesi and Central Kalimantan? Article 13 is on community farmers and Bappenas is proposing a regulation to ensure implementation at the provincial level. Regarding incentives for districts consideration should also be given to the role of the Fiscal Policy Agency (Badan Kebijakan Fiskal-BKF), under The Ministry of Finance and the Indonesian Environment Fund (BPDLH).

Anton Sanjaya, Kehati: As I stated in the past, I will use Bahasa Indonesia in meetings. Maybe we need to discuss specifically about the content and scope of the outreach. What is the content of the capacity building meetings and what capacity are we building? Are we socializing the SJI or are we training on data collection? The content of the engagement is still not clear for me. It is important to know who will be invited but if we don't know the content how can we know who to invite?

**Response – Jeremy, EFI, KAMI:** projected the objective slide in the presentation and noted that the main objective of the outreach is to raise awareness of the Sustainable Jurisdictions Indicators (SJI), data platform and how districts can benefit but training on data collection and submission could also be included if time permits or this could be done through other means. Comments are noted and we will continue with consultation to come up with refined objectives and a draft agenda.

# 3 Update on the SJI Ministerial Decrees being drafted by Bappenas

Rully Amrullah provided updates on the two Bappenas SJI Decrees being formulated and shared information on direction and next steps, noting that there is a clear timeline / schedule for the process. He said that final drafts of the two Ministerial Decrees are being reviewed by the Deputy for Maritime and Natural Resources Affairs. After the review, the Bappenas Law Bureau will revise as necessary before it is submitted to the Secretary General of Bappenas. Following the Secretary General's approval, the final stage will be the signing of the decree by the Minister of Bappenas. It is expected that by the end of March the process will be completed.

#### 3.1 Questions/comments

**Novi Ayu, Surveyor Indonesia:** Are the two Bappenas decrees on SJI Surat Keputusan (SK) Ministerial Decrees?

**Response – Rully, EFI, KAMI:** Yes, first an SK and then a decree on the team that will support implementation.

**Martinus Nata, Surveyor Indonesia**: In relation to the question of the relevance of the 23 Sustainable Jurisdictions Indicators to the other 7 commodities under the EUDR Pak Nata informed the group that the Ministerial Decree for SJI is open to changes and that it is anticipated that every 6 months to 1 year after implementation there will be a review. This means that SJI can evolve, and this can be captured in the revisions. Pak Nata noted that there were minor changes to the indicators before SI took over from Inobu and that through discussions with districts, Javlec, and Pak Anang, there have been other necessary changes to the indicators. For example, maps and overlays are being used to determine oil palm in relation to the forest zone/Kawasan Hutan and also forest cover in Indonesia. Surveyor Indonesia, as a state-owned company, can access this data through Satu Data Indonesia/One Data Indonesia. Pak Nata noted a lot of data is available for palm oil but the situation is not the same for cacao, coffee, or rubber. We are open to this kind of information and with data access through Satu Data Indonesia this kind of analysis should be possible soon. However, until the Surveyor Indonesia-Bappenas MoU is signed, we cannot make the analysis available to the group.

#### 4 Discussion session

#### 4.1 Questions/comments

**Rully, EFI, KAMI:** Please provide feedback on the content of the proposed outreach workshops. Currently the aim is that roadmaps could be developed at the workshops to help district plot a path to sustainability and access incentives.

**Silfi Iriyani, GIZ Safe Project:** In our discussion with Pak Anang, we spoke not only about supporting districts to access DAK/Special Allocation Fund but for other programmes from other ministries to be included too. We also spoke about rewards for fulfilling SJI requirements – reward from Indonesian Environment Fund/BPDLH for example.

**Jeremy, EFI, KAMI:** Good suggestion but we may be restricted by budget to organize a meeting for more than one day. Could partners support additional days?

**Response**: The general response was that one day is not enough to cover everything, but the content needs to be targeted and different for different target audiences. District government would be interested in different things to other stakeholders.

**Ristika Putri, LTKL:** We need to be more focused. For example, if we focus on SJI socialization only, there will be a link missing between SJI and DAK. Breakout groups should focus on different aspects of the SJI. The content is very important.

**Nur Maliki Arifiandi, CDP:** The content should at the national level should be different from the roadshows at regional hubs, which should focus on the individual indicators but at the national level the focus should be broader.

**Rully, EFI, KAMI:** In Jakarta we will invite national government, CSOs, private sector and international stakeholders. The Jakarta workshop could be considered a kick-off meeting.

**Jeremy, EFI, KAMI:** Yes, the Jakarta meeting could bring together the national stakeholders to get them on the same level on the SJI and get direction on the district level outreach. We will have a look at the concept note again and make edits before we share with everyone. If we organized a 2-day meeting would anyone come for two days?

**Ristika Putri, LTKL:** Regarding logistics and engagement of SJI WG members, LTKL has run threeday district meetings, but it is not easy to get higher level officials to attend for this length of time so shorter meetings would be preferable. If the outreach meetings are only one day the focus should be narrowed to just SJI implementation and the district role and using the data platform.

I want to ask the group about developing a timeline. We need to show the roadmap for district outreach and implementation. We need to show how we are planning to carry out the outreach at the district level. It would be good for districts to fill out sheets on SJI data needs and to get the district government to identify or start to think about resource people at the district level for SJI. What will be

the role of SJI working group member in these outreach meetings? We need Bappenas to lead and facilitate these discussions about roadmap, content and participation of WG members.

**Rully, EFI, KAMI:** Pak Anang suggested invite all SJI WG members to a meeting to improve understanding of SJI latest status including indicator progress, update on Bappenas decree, etc. Perhaps we could use that meeting to discuss the workshops?

**Pak Erwin Widodo, Surveyor Indonesia**: I propose that we go back to the basic and think about target audience and content. Session structure would be important so WG members can help / provide input.

# 5 Closing

**Rully, EFI, KAMI:** Thank you all for your valuable inputs and feedback. We have made note of next steps on KAMI's side:

- i. We will revise concept note to capture input from WG members.
- ii. We propose splitting concept notes for national and district outreach.
- iii. A next meeting will be organised and facilitated by Bappenas to provide updates on the SJI and its progress and also to further discuss questions brought up today with regards to scope and roles and responsibilities.

Rully recap some of the key points discussed today:

- i. Would focus on 9 'Champion' districts be possible?
- ii. Would it be possible to be more focused on SJI data collection and input at district level?
- iii. How can Bappenas be more involved in facilitating future WG / coordination meetings?
- iv. Can we focus more on district level data collection responsibilities and input from district governments?

We are learning by doing and will maintain flexibility to adapt and improve the plan for the workshops. This has been a very good discussion, thanks to all for the inputs and feedback.

\*\*\*

Meeting minutes prepared by EFI.

17 March 2023.

# Annex 1: List of Participants

No	Name	Gender	Institution
1	Satria Gundara	М	Surveyor Indonesia
2	Novi Ayu	F	Surveyor Indonesia
3	Hafizh Farhan	М	Surveyor Indonesia
4	Erwin Widodo	М	Surveyor Indonesia
5	Martinus Nata	М	Surveyor Indonesia
6	Anton Sanjaya	М	KEHATI
7	Herdrajat	М	ISPO National Secretariat
8	Heriyadi	М	ISPO National Secretariat
9	Dwi Yana	F	ISPO National Secretariat
10	Ristika Putri	F	LTKL
11	Panji Anom	М	JAVLEC
12	Dominik Schab	М	GIZ SAFE Project
13	Silfi Iriyani	F	GIZ SAFE Project
14	Devyandra Eka Putri	F	CDP
15	Putri Utami	F	CDP
16	Nur Maliki Arifiandi	F	CDP
17	Fardan Amri	М	PisAgro
18	Jeremy Broadhead	М	EFI
19	Rully Amrullah	М	EFI
20	Josil Murray	F	EFI